1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 7 2:11-CR-457 JCM (CWH) UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 8 9 Plaintiff(s), 10 11 ERIK HOLMAN, 12 Defendant(s). 13 **ORDER** 14 15 Presently before the court is the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Hoffman. 16 (Doc. #72). No objections have been filed even though the deadline date for filing objections has expired. 17 18 Defendant filed a motion to dismiss the indictment in this case based on allegations that the 19 government had failed to preserve evidence. (Doc. #62). The magistrate judge recommended that 20 the court deny the motion, as it is clear the electronic files in question were purged prior to the time 21 that the government gained access to the computer system. (Doc. # 72). 22 This court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or 23 recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to 24 a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a de novo 25 determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). 26 27 28

James C. Mahan U.S. District Judge

I
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Where a party fails to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all ... of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v. Reyna—Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna—Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then this court may accept the recommendation without review. *See, e.g.*, *Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this court finds it appropriate to engage in a de novo review to determine whether to adopt the recommendation of the magistrate judge. Upon reviewing the recommendation and underlying briefs, this court finds good cause appears to ADOPT the magistrate's findings in full.

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY, ORDERED, AND DECREED that the report and recommendation of Magistrate Judge Hoffman (doc. # 72) be, and the same hereby is, ADOPTED in its entirety.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED defendant's motion to dismiss the indictment (doc. # 62) is DENIED.

DATED February 24, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE